(WAC 197-11-970) Section 11.10.230(3) Determination of Non-Significance (DNS)

File No. 2014066 & 2014067

## **DETERMINATION OF NON-SIGNIFICANCE**

Description of Proposal: 2014 Neighborhood Traffic Calming, District 2 & 3

Proponent: City of Spokane, Department of Engineering Services

Location of proposal, including street address, if any: All within the public right of way at the following intersections: Ash Street and Maple Street from Euclid Avenue to Alice Avenue. Intersection of Garland Avenue and Lincoln Street; Bernard Street from 34th Avenue to 36th Avenue; Intersection of 5th Avenue and Pittsburg Street; Fiske Street from 28th Avenue to 27th Avenue and the south side of 27th Avenue from Fiske Street to Ray Street; Intersection of Rockwood Boulevard and Helena Court; Intersections of Southeast Boulevard with Rockwood Boulevard and 27th Avenue; Palouse Highway from Freya Street to Regal Street; Five Mile Road at Cascade Way and Horizon Ridge Place; Oak Street from Rockwell Avenue to Longfellow Avenue; Longfellow Avenue from Oak Street to Ash Street, and Rockwell Avenue from Oak Street to Alley.

Lead agency: City of Spokane, Department of Engineering Services

The lead agency for this proposal has determined that it does not have a probable significant adverse impact on the environment. An Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) is not required under RCW 43.21C.030(2)(c). This decision was made after review of a completed Environmental Checklist and other information on file with the lead agency. This information is available to the public on request.

]	]	There is no comment period for this DNS.				
[	]	This DNS is issued after using the optional DNS process in Section 197-11-355 WAC There is no further comment period on the DNS.				
[ >	( ]	This DNS is issued under 197-11-340(2); the lead agency will not act on this proposal for 14 days from the date below. Comments must be submitted by February 20, 2015.				
Responsible official: Kyle Twohig						
Position/Title: Engineering Operations Manager Phone: (509) 625-6700						
Address: 2nd Floor, City Hall, 808 W. Spokane Falls Blvd., Spokane, WA 99201-3343						
Date: February 6, 2015 Signature:						
You may appeal this determination to Kyle Twohig, Engineering Operations Manager						

at (location): 2nd Floor, City Hall, Spokane, WA 99201-3343

no later than (date): February 20, 2015

by (method): written

You should be prepared to make specific factual objections.

Contact John Halsey at (509) 625-6300 to read or ask about the procedures for SEPA appeals.

# **DISTRIBUTION LIST FOR COMMENTS**

PROJECT NAME: 2014 Neighborhood Traffic Calming, District 2 & 3

FILE No.: 2014066 & 2014067

#### E-mail Copies

#### Washington State Agencies

- Department of Natural Resources, Attn: Jacob McCann
- Department of Natural Resources, Attn: SEPA Center
- Department of Commerce, Attn: Dee Caputo
- Department of Archaeology & Historic Preservation, Attn: Gretchen Kaehler
- Department of Ecology, Attn: Environmental Review Section
- Department of Ecology, Eastern Region, Attn: Teri Costello
- Department of Ecology, Eastern Region, Attn: Jeremy Sykes, Shoreline Permit Reviewer
- Department of Ecology, Easter Region, Attn: David Moore, Wetlands/Shoreline
- Department of Transportation, Attn: Char Kay
- Department of Transportation, Attn. Greg Figg
- Department of Fish & Wildlife, Attn: Karin Divens -Habitat Program

#### City Departments

- Asset Management, Attn: Dave Steele
- Building Department, Attn: John Halsey
- Capital Programs, Attn: Mike Taylor
- Capital Programs, Attn: Steven Allenton
- City Attorney, Attn: James Richman
- Code Enforcement, Attn: Heather Trautman
- Construction Management, Attn: Ken Brown
- Engineering Services, Attn: Dan Buller
- · Fire Dept., Attn: Dave Kokot.
- Historic Preservation, Attn: Megan Duvall
- Library Services, Attn: Dana Dalrymple
- Neighborhood Services, Attn: Jonathan Mallahan & ONS Team
- Parks Dept., Attn: Tony Madunich
- PCED, Attn: Theresa Sanders
- Planning & Development, Attn: Kris Becker
- Planning & Development, Attn: Eldon Brown
- Planning & Development, Attn: Tami Palmquist
- Planning & Development, Attn: Julie Neff
- Planning & Development, Attn: Patty Kells
- Planning & Development, Attn: Mike Nilsson
- Police Department, Attn: Sgt John Gately
- Solid Waste, Attn: Scott Windsor
- Solid Waste, Attn: Rick Hughes
- Street Operations, Attn: Inga Note
- Wastewater Management, Attn: William Peacock
- Wastewater Management, Attn: Mike Morris
- Wastewater AWWTP, Attn: Dale Arnold
- Wastewater AWWTP, Attn: Mike Costner
- Water Department, Attn: Dan Kegley
- Water Department, Attn: Jim Sakamoto

#### County Departments

- Spokane County Public Works, Attn: Scott Engelhard
- Spokane County Planning Department, Attn: John Pederson
- Spokane County Engineering Dept., Attn: Gary Nyberg
- Spokane Regional Health District, Attn: Steve Holderby
- Spokane Regional Health District, Attn: Eric Meyer
- SRCAA, Attn: April Westby

### Other Agencies

- American Medical Response, Attn: Lori Koch
- U.S. Army corps of Engineers, Attn: Tim Erkel
- Avista Utilities, Attn: Lu Ann Weingart
- Cheney School District Operations, Attn: Jeff McClure
- District 81 Capital Projects, Attn: Candy Johnson
- Mead School District Facilities & Planning, Attn: Ned Wendle
- Spokane Aquifer Joint Board, Attn: Erin Casci
- Spokane Aguifer Joint Board, Attn: Tonilee Hanson
- Spokane Transit Authority, Attn: Gordon Howell
- Spokane Transit Authority, Attn: Mike Hynes
- Spokane Tribe of Indians, Attn: Jacki Corley
- Spokane Regional Transportation Council, Attn: Kevin Wallace
- Spokane Regional Transportation Council, Attn: Anna Ragaza-Bourassa
- Williams Northwest Pipeline, Attn: Michael Moore

#### **Hard Copies**

### Other Agencies

- U.S. Postal Service, Attn: Postmaster
- Spokane Tribe of Indians, Attn: Randy Abrahamson (Section, Township, Range)

# **ENVIRONMENTAL**

# **CHECKLIST**

SPOKANE ENVIRONMENTAL

ORDINANCE

SECTION 11.10.230(1)

(WAC 197-11-960) Section 11.10.230(1)

# **Environmental Checklist**

# File No. 2014066 & 2014067

# **Purpose of Checklist:**

The State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) chapter 43.21C RCW, requires all governmental agencies to consider the environmental impacts of a proposal before making decisions. An Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) must be prepared for all proposals with probable significant adverse impacts on the quality of the environment. The purpose of this checklist is to provide information to help you and the agency identify impacts from your proposal (and to reduce or avoid impacts from the proposal, if it can be done) and to help the agency decide whether an EIS is required.

# **Instructions for Applicants:**

This environmental checklist asks you to describe some basic information about your proposal. Governmental agencies use this checklist to determine whether the environmental impacts of your proposal are significant, requiring preparation of an EIS. Answer the questions briefly, with the most precise information known, or give the best description you can.

You must answer each question accurately and carefully, to the best of your knowledge. In most cases, you should be able to answer the questions from your own observations or project plans without the need to hire experts. If you really do not know the answer, or if a question does not apply to your proposal, write "do not know" or "does not apply." Complete answers to the questions now may avoid unnecessary delays later.

Some questions ask about governmental regulations, such as zoning, shoreline, and landmark designations. Answer these questions if you can. If you have problems, the governmental agencies can assist you.

The checklist questions apply to all parts of your proposal, even if you plan to do them over a period of time or on different parcels of land. Attach any additional information that will describe your proposal or its environmental effects. The agency to which you submit this checklist may ask you to explain your answers or provide additional information reasonably related to determining if there may be significant adverse impact.

#### Use of checklist for nonproject proposals:

Complete this checklist for nonproject proposals, even though questions may be answered "does not apply". IN ADDITION, complete the SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NONPROJECT ACTIONS (Part D).

For nonproject actions, the references in the checklist to the words "project," "applicant," and "property or site" should be read as "proposal", "proposer", and "affected geographic area", respectively.

(WAC 197-11-960) Section 11.10.230(1)

# TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT

### A. BACKGROUND

- 1. Name of proposed project, if applicable: Traffic Calming 2014
- 2. Name of Applicant: Streets Department—City of Spokane
- 3. Address and phone number of applicant and contact person: *Inga Note 509-232-8813*.
- 4. Date checklist prepared: 01/23/2014
- 5. Agency requesting checklist: Dept. of Eng. Services City of Spokane
- 6. Proposed timing or schedule (including phasing, if applicable): Spring-Fall 2015.
- 7. Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal? If yes, explain. *No*.
- 8. List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to this proposal. Stormwater general permit required for Maple/Euclid swale.
- 9. Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal? If yes, explain. *No*.
- 10. List any government approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known.

City council award of contract.

Obstruction Permit

DOE UIC Well Permit

DOE Construction Stormwater Permit

City of Spokane Street Tree Permit

Give brief, complete description of your proposal, including the proposed uses and the size of the project and site. There are several questions later in this checklist that ask you to describe certain aspects of your proposal. You do not need to repeat those answers on this page.

Installation of sidewalks, crosswalks, traffic islands, signs, medians, bump-outs and ramps. A stormwater bioretention swale at Maple & Euclid

(WAC 197-11-960) Section 11.10.230(1)

12. Location of the proposal. Give sufficient information to a person to understand the precise location of your proposed project, including a street address, if any, and section, township and range, if known. If a proposal would occur over a range of area, provide the range or boundaries of the site(s). Provide a legal description, site plan, vicinity map, and topographic map, if reasonably available. While you should submit any plans required by the agency, you are not required to duplicate maps or detailed plans submitted with any permit application related to this checklist.

All within public right of way at the following locations:

- Ash St. and Maple St. from Euclid Ave to Alice Ave.
- Intersection of Garland Ave. & Lincoln St.
- Bernard St. from 34th Ave to 36th Ave.
- Intersection of 5th Ave. & Pittsburg St.
- Fiske Street from 28<sup>th</sup> Ave. to 27<sup>th</sup> Ave. and the south side of 27<sup>th</sup> Ave. from Fiske St. to Ray St.
- Intersection of Rockwood Blvd. & Helena Ct.
- Intersections of Southeast Blvd. with Rockwood Blvd and 27<sup>th</sup> Ave.
- Palouse Highway from Freya St. to Regal St.
- Five Mile Rd. at Cascade Way and Horizon Ridge Place.
- Oak St. from Rockwell Ave. to Longfellow Ave., Longfellow Ave. from Oak St. to Ash St., and Rockwell Ave. from Oak St. to Alley.
- 13. Does the proposed action lie within the Aquifer Sensitive Area (ASA)? The General Sewer Service Area? The Priority Sewer Service Area? The City of Spokane? (See: Spokane County's ASA Overlay Zone Atlas for boundaries).

Yes

- 14. The following questions supplement Part A.
  - a. Critical Aquifer Recharge Area (CARA) / Aquifer Sensitive Area (ASA)
  - (1) Describe any systems, other than those designed for the disposal of sanitary waste, installed for the purpose of discharging fluids below the ground surface (includes systems such as those for the disposal of stormwater or drainage from floor drains). Describe the type of system, the amount of material to be disposed of through the system and the types of material likely to be disposed of (including materials which may enter the system inadvertently through spills or as a result of firefighting activities).

Only stormwater system modifications are a part the Maple/Euclid swale. The remainder of this project will require moving of catch basins each no more than 20' from its current location to account for a revised curb line.

(WAC 197-11-960) Section 11.10.230(1)

(2) Will any chemicals (especially organic solvents or petroleum fuels) be stored in aboveground or underground storage tanks? If so, what types and quantities of material will be stored?

No.

(3) What protective measures will be taken to insure that leaks or spills of any chemicals stored or used on site will not be allowed to percolate to groundwater? This includes measures to keep chemicals out of disposal systems.

Does not apply.

(4) Will any chemicals be stored, handled or used on the site in a location where a spill or leak will drain to surface or groundwater or to a stormwater disposal system discharging to surface or groundwater?

Do not know.

- b. Stormwater
- (1) What are the depths on the site to groundwater and to bedrock (if known)?

Varies – groundwater and rock may be within 5'-10' of the surface on the south hill, substantially deeper elsewhere.

(2) Will stormwater be discharged into the ground? If so, describe any potential impacts.

Only at Maple/Euclid swale. At the remaining sites, stormwater is routed to the existing collection system(s).

#### **B. ENVIRONMENTAL ELEMENTS**

#### 1. EARTH

a. General description of the site (circle one): Flat, rolling hilly, steep slopes, mountainous

other:

- b. What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)? 6%
- c. What general types of soils are found on the site (for

(WAC 197-11-960) Section 11.10.230(1)

Evaluation For Agency Use Only

example, clay, sand, gravel, peat, muck)? If you know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them and note any prime farmland. South hill – clay Elsewhere - sand

d. Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? If so, describe.

No

installation.

f.

e. Describe the purpose, type, and approximate quantities of any filling or grading proposed. Indicate source of fill.

Excavating 6" to 12" deep for sidewalk installation Excavating 12" to 5' deep for sign and signal pole foundations. Excavating 6' to 12' deep for storm sewer

Could erosion occur as a result of clearing,

construction, or use? If so, generally describe.

No – all areas are flat or within developed land on all sides of construction.

g. About what percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction (for example, asphalt or buildings)?

The majority of the project consists of installing sidewalk where none currently exists. Sidewalk is impervious. All other improvements are within already impervious surfaces.

h. Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion, or other impacts to the earth, if any:

Contract to do work will include requirements to follow the most current standard to control any erosion caused by construction under 2014 WSDOT Standard Specifications Section 1-07.15

(WAC 197-11-960) Section 11.10.230(1)

Evaluation For Agency Use Only

"Temporary Water Pollution/Erosion Control.

#### 2. AIR

a. What type of emissions to the air would result from the proposal (i.e., dust, automobile, odors industrial, wood smoke) during construction and when the project is completed? If any, generally describe and give approximate quantities if known.

Typical construction equipment emissions, dust of disturbed material, and odors from paving new asphalt or concrete.

- b. Are there any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect your proposal? If so, generally describe.

  No
- c. proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air, if any:

  Do Not Know.

# 3. WATER

- a. Surface:
  - (1) Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site including year-round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lake, ponds, wetlands)? If yes, describe type and provide names. If appropriate, state what stream or river it flows into.

    No.
  - (2) Will the project require any work over, in, or adjacent to (200 feet) the described waters? If yes, please describe and attach available plans.

    No.
  - (3) Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed

(WAC 197-11-960) Section 11.10.230(1)

Evaluation For Agency Use Only

from the surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material. *Does not apply*.

- (4) Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

  No.
- (5) Does the proposal lie within a 100-year flood plain? If so, note location on the site plan.

  No.
- (6) Does the proposal involve any discharges of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge.

  No.

#### b. Ground:

- (1) Will ground water be withdrawn, or will water be discharged to ground water? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

  No.
- (2) Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sources, if any (for example: Domestic sewage; industrial, containing the following chemicals...; agricultural; etc.) Describe the general size of the system, the number of such systems, the number of houses to be served (if applicable) or the number of animals or humans the system(s) are expected to serve. Does not apply.
- c. Water Runoff (including storm water):

(WAC 197-11-960) Section 11.10.230(1)

Evaluation For Agency Use Only

(1) Describe the source of runoff (including storm water) and method of collection and disposal if any (include quantities, if known). Where will this water flow? Will this water flow into other waters? If so, describe.

Storm water from street surface will be collected in existing and/or new catch basins and transported to the existing storm sewer system of City of Spokane. A new swale at Maple/Euclid will treat and infiltrate runoff from Maple Street between Alice and Euclid Avenues.

- (2) Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, generally describe.

  Yes storm water is routed through existing storm water systems that are either separated (flow directly to the Spokane River) or combined (only storm overflows enter the Spokane River).
- d. Proposed measures to reduce or control surface, ground, and runoff water impacts, if any (if the proposed action lies within the <u>Aquifer Sensitive Area</u> be especially clear on explanations relating to facilities concerning Sections 3b(4), 3b(5), and 3c(2) of this checklist).

A Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) or Temporary Erosion and Sediment Control (TESC) plan will be prepared and followed.

## 4. PLANTS

a.	Check or c	ircle type of	vegeta	tion fou	ınd on th	e site:
	X	deciduous	tree:	alder,	maple,	aspen,
		other.				
	X	evergreen t	ree: fi	r, cedar,	, pine, ot	her.
	X	shrubs.			_	
	X	grass.				
		pasture.				
		crop or gra	in.			

(WAC 197-11-960) Section 11.10.230(1)

Evaluation For Agency Use Only

				buttercup,
bulrı	ish, sk	kunk cabb	oage, othe	r.
		ts: water	lily, eelgr	ass, milfoil,
othe				
 othe	r types	s of vegeta	ation.	

b. What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered?

Grass and trees will be removed in order to install the sidewalk.

c. List threatened or endangered species known to be on or near the site.

None.

d. Proposed landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on the site, if any:

Replace any disturbed area of existing landscaping with like material after improvements are complete.

# 5. ANIMALS

a. Circle any birds and animals which have been observed on or near the site or are known to be on or near the site:

birds: hawk, heron, eagle, songbirds other: mammals: deer, bear, elk, beaver

fish: bass, salmon, trout, herring, shellfish other:

Oth

other:

b. List any threatened or endangered species known to be on or near the site.

Do not know.

(WAC 197-11-960) Section 11.10.230(1)

Evaluation For Agency Use Only

c. Is the site part of a migration route? If so, explain.

Do not know.

d. Proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any:

None.

# 6. ENERGY AND NATURAL RESOURCES

a. What kinds of energy (electric, natural gas, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the completed project's energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing, etc.

Does not apply.

b. Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties? If so, generally describe.

No.

c. What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal? List other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any:

Does not apply.

## 7. ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH

a. Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire and explosion, spill, or hazardous waste, that could occur as a result of this proposal? If so, describe.

No.

(1) Describe special emergency services that

(WAC 197-11-960) Section 11.10.230(1)

Evaluation For Agency Use Only

might be required.

Does not apply.

(2) Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any:

Watering for dust control during construction.

# b. Noise:

(1) What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project (for example: traffic, equipment, operation, other?

None.

(2) What type and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term or a long-term basis (for example: traffic, construction, operation, other? Indicate what hours noise would come from the site.

Short-term construction equipment noise during time of construction. City noise ordinance is from 10 p.m. to 7 a.m.

(3) Proposed measure to reduce or control noise impacts, if any:

City of Spokane Noise Ordinance.

## 8. LAND AND SHORELINE USE

a. What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties?

The current use is designated as public right of way for typical street use for traffic, utilities, and access to private property. The adjacent properties are typically high-density residential and some low-

(WAC 197-11-960) Section 11.10.230(1)

Evaluation For Agency Use Only

density commercial use.

b. Has the site been used for agriculture? If so, describe.

Do not know.

c. Describe any structures on the site.

Typical street improvements on site. No physical building structures with occupancies.

d. Will any structures be demolished? If so, which?

Some drainage structures will be removed, but replaced with new.

e. What is the current zoning classification of the site?

The improvements are in the public right of way. Adjacent properties are generally zoned residential single family.

f. What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site?

The improvements are in the ROW.

g. If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the Site?

Does not apply.

h. Has any part of the site been classified as an "environmentally sensitive" area? If so, specify.

This area is contained within the "Aquifer Sensitive Area" as outlined by the Spokane County Engineer's "208" Water Quality Management Program.

i. Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project?

(WAC 197-11-960) Section 11.10.230(1)

Evaluation For Agency Use Only

None.

j. Approximately how many people would the completed project displace?

None.

k. Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any:

Does not apply.

l. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans, if any:

None.

# 9. HOUSING

a. Approximately how many units would be provided, if any? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

None.

b. Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

None.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any:

Does not apply.

## 10. AESTHETICS

a. What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas; what is the principal exterior building material(s) proposed?

(WAC 197-11-960) Section 11.10.230(1)

Evaluation For Agency Use Only

Does not apply.

b. What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed?

None.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any:

None.

## 11. LIGHT AND GLARE

a. What type of light or glare will the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur?

Typical reflection of sun and vehicle head lights off installed street signs all day.

b. Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views?

No.

c. What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal?

None.

d. Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any:

None.

# 12. RECREATION

a. What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity?

City Park System.

b. Would the proposed project displace any existing

(WAC 197-11-960) Section 11.10.230(1)

Evaluation For Agency Use Only

recreational uses? If so, describe.

No.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant, if any:

Does not apply.

# 13. HISTORIC AND CULTURAL PRESERVATION

a. Are there any places or objects listed on, or proposed for national, state, or local preservation registers known to be on or next to the site? If so, generally describe.

Yes.

Work at 5<sup>th</sup> Ave & Pittsburg is approximately 700 feet south of 1726 E. 3<sup>rd</sup> Avenue, which is listed on the Spokane Historic Register.

Work at Rockwood Blvd & Helena Ct is approximately 900 feet southeast of 1329 E Overbluff Rd, which is listed on the Spokane Historic Register.

b. Generally describe any landmarks or evidence of historic archaeological, scientific or cultural importance known to be on or next to the site.

No visual evidence at the surface.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts, if any:

All work will be in the Right of Way and therefore will not impact the historic houses.

(WAC 197-11-960) Section 11.10.230(1)

Evaluation For Agency Use Only

# 14. TRANSPORTATION

- a. Identify public streets and highways serving the site, and describe proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site plans, if any.
  - Ash St and Maple St from Euclid Ave to Alice Ave.
  - Garland Ave. at Lincoln St.
  - Bernard St. from 34<sup>th</sup> to 35<sup>th</sup>.
  - 5<sup>th</sup> Ave at Pittsburg St.
  - Fiske Street from 28<sup>th</sup> Ave. to 27<sup>th</sup> Ave. and the south side of 27<sup>th</sup> Ave. from Fiske St. to Ray St.
  - Rockwood Blvd. at Helena Ct.
  - Southeast Blvd. at Rockwood Blvd and 27<sup>th</sup> Ave.
  - Palouse Highway from Freya St. to Regal St.
  - Five Mile Rd. at Cascade Way and Horizon Ridge Place.
  - Oak St. from Rockwell Ave. to Longfellow Ave., Longfellow Ave. from Oak St. to Ash St., and Rockwell Ave. from Oak St. to Alley.
- b. Is the site currently served by public transit? If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop?
  - Most of the locations are on STA routes or within a few hundred feet thereof.
- c. How many parking spaces would the completed project have? How many would the project eliminate?
  - No marked parking will be removed.
- d. Will the proposal require any new roads or streets, or improvements to existing road and/or streets not including driveways? If so, generally describe (indicate whether public or private).
  - Yes, no physical curb bumpouts in street at Garland Ave. and Lincoln St.
- e. Will the project use (or occur in the immediate vicinity of) water, rail, or air transportation? If so, generally describe.

(WAC 197-11-960) Section 11.10.230(1)

Evaluation For Agency Use Only

No.

f. How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project? If known, indicate when peak would occur.

None.

g. Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any:

Does not apply.

# 15. PUBLIC SERVICES

a. Would the project result in an increased need for public services (for example: Fire protection, police protection, health care, schools, other)? If so, generally describe.

Yes, additional funds to maintain and operate improvements in the public right of way that include, but not limited to, signs, striping, and curb islands by Street Department. Also, drainage structures by Wastewater Department.

b. Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services, if any:

None.

#### 16. UTILITIES

a. Circle utilities currently available at the site: electricity, natural gas, water, refuse service, telephone, sanitary sewer, septic system

other: Storm sewer, communication.

b. Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service and the general construction activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity which might be needed.

No additional services being provided.

(WAC 197-11-960) Section 11.10.230(1)

# C. SIGNATURE

I, the undersigned, swear under the penalty of perjury that the above responses are made truthfully and to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that, should there be any willful misrepresentation or willful lack of full disclosure on my part, the agency may withdraw any determination of non-significance that it might issue in reliance upon this checklist.

Date: 02/3/2	015 Proponent: City of Spokane Dept of Streets			
	Signature: M. N.			
	Address: 901 N. Nelson Street Spokane, WA 99202			
Phone: <i>509-2</i>	32-8800			
Person comp	leting form: Inga Note Date: 02/3/2015			
Phone: <i>509-2</i>	32-8813			
FOR STAFF	USE ONLY			
Signature:	r(s) Reviewing Checklist:			
Based on this the staff:	s staff review of the environmental checklist and other pertinent information,			
AX	Concludes that there are no probable significant adverse impacts and recommends a determination of nonsignificance.			
В	Concludes that probable significant adverse environmental impacts do exist for the current proposal and recommends a mitigated determination of nonsignificance with conditions.			
C	Concludes that there are probable significant adverse environmental impacts and recommends a determination of significance.			
	FILING FEE - \$75.00			